

Grid Code Alternative and Workgroup Vote

GC0164: Simplification of Operating Code No.2

Please note: To participate in any votes, Workgroup members need to have attended at least 50% of meetings.

Stage 1 – Alternative Vote

If Workgroup Alternative Requests have been made, vote on whether they should become Workgroup Alternative Grid Code Modifications (WAGCMs).

Stage 2 – Workgroup Vote

2a) Assess the Original and WAGCMs (if there are any) against the Grid Code objectives compared to the baseline (the current Grid Code).

2b) Vote on which of the options is best.

Terms used in this document

| Term | Meaning |
|----------|--|
| Baseline | The current Grid Code (if voting for the Baseline, you believe no modification should be made) |
| Original | The solution which was firstly proposed by the Proposer of the modification |
| WAGCM | Workgroup Alternative Grid Code Modification (an Alternative Solution which has been developed by the Workgroup) |

For reference the Applicable Grid Code Objectives are:

- To permit the development, maintenance and operation of an efficient, coordinated and economical system for the transmission of electricity
- Facilitating effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity (and without limiting the foregoing, to facilitate the national electricity transmission

Public

system being made available to persons authorised to supply or generate electricity on terms which neither prevent nor restrict competition in the supply or generation of electricity);

- iii. Subject to sub-paragraphs (i) and (ii), to promote the security and efficiency of the electricity generation, transmission and distribution systems in the national electricity transmission system operator area taken as a whole;*
- iv. To efficiently discharge the obligations imposed upon the licensee by this license* and to comply with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency; and*
- v. To promote efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Grid Code arrangements*

** See Electricity System Operator Licence*

Workgroup Vote

Stage 1 – Alternative Vote

Vote on Workgroup Alternative Requests to become Workgroup Alternative Grid Code Modifications.

The Alternative vote is carried out to identify the level of Workgroup support there is for any potential alternative options that have been brought forward by either any member of the Workgroup OR an Industry Participant as part of the Workgroup Consultation.

Should the majority of the Workgroup OR the Chair believe that the potential alternative solution would better facilitate the Grid Code objectives than the Original proposal then the potential alternative will be fully developed by the Workgroup with legal text to form a Workgroup Alternative Grid Code modification (WAGCM) and submitted to the Panel and Authority alongside the Original solution for the Panel Recommendation vote and the Authority decision.

"Y" = Yes

"N" = No

"–" = Neutral (Stage 2 only)

"Abstain"

No Alternative Requests were raised.



To assess the Original and WAGCMs against the Grid Code objectives compared to the baseline (the current Grid Code).

You will also be asked to provide a statement to be added to the Workgroup Report alongside your vote to assist the reader in understanding the rationale for your vote.

AGCO = Applicable Grid Code Objective

| Workgroup Member | Better facilitates AGCO (i) | Better facilitates AGCO (ii) | Better facilitates AGCO (iii) | Better facilitates AGCO (iv) | Better facilitates AGCO (v) | Overall (Y/N) |
|---|---|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| | Frank Kasibante – NESO (confirmed on 16/09/25 by Stuart McLarnon) | | | | | |
| Original | - | Yes | - | - | Yes | Yes |
| <p>Voting Statement: The proposed modification will assist Users to clearly identify and understand their obligations under Operating Code No.2 (OC2) by making it more accessible, easy to navigate and understand, whilst retaining the obligations of the existing legal text.</p> | | | | | | |

| Workgroup Member | Better facilitates AGCO (i) | Better facilitates AGCO (ii) | Better facilitates AGCO (iii) | Better facilitates AGCO (iv) | Better facilitates AGCO (v) | Overall (Y/N) |
|---|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| | Graeme Vincent – SP Energy Networks | | | | | |
| Original | - | - | - | - | Yes | Yes |
| Voting Statement: The proposed modification simplifies and rationalises the text within OC2 whilst retaining the intent (and obligations) of the existing legal text. | | | | | | |

| Workgroup Member | Better facilitates AGCO (i) | Better facilitates AGCO (ii) | Better facilitates AGCO (iii) | Better facilitates AGCO (iv) | Better facilitates AGCO (v) | Overall (Y/N) |
|---|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| | Paul Richardson – Northern Powergrid | | | | | |
| Original | - | - | - | - | Yes | Yes |
| Voting Statement: No voting statement provided. | | | | | | |

| Workgroup Member | Better facilitates AGCO (i) | Better facilitates AGCO (ii) | Better facilitates AGCO (iii) | Better facilitates AGCO (iv) | Better facilitates AGCO (v) | Overall (Y/N) |
|--|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| | Stewart Wylie – SSEN Distribution | | | | | |
| Original | - | - | - | - | Yes | Yes |
| Voting Statement: Ease of use of OC2 will allow more Users to understand OC2, encouraging new Users to enter the industry, promoting competition and encouraging innovative industry entrants. | | | | | | |

Public

Of the 5 votes, how many voters said this option was better than the Baseline.

| Option | Number of voters that voted this option as better than the Baseline |
|----------|---|
| Original | 5 |

Stage 2b – Workgroup Vote

Which option is the best? (Baseline or Proposer solution (Original Proposal))

| Workgroup Member | Company | Industry Sector | BEST Option? | Which objective(s) does the change better facilitate? (if baseline not applicable) |
|------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------|--|
| Andrew Colley | SSE Generation | Generator | Original | v |
| Frank Kasibante | NESO | System Operator | Original | ii, v |
| Graeme Vincent | SP Energy Networks | Network Operator | Original | v |
| Paul Richardson | Northern Powergrid | Network Operator | Original | v |
| Stewart Wylie | SSEN Distribution | Network Operator | Original | v |